Legal C H I L D	ABUSE TELEMEMO Service			
1. Date and time of telephone call:				
2. Person handling call:				
Name and phone number of caller:				
 Congregation name, city, and state of 				
5. Name, age, and congregation status of	of offender:			
Name, age, and congregation status of	of victim:			
7. Briefly describe the nature and extent of abuse:				
A TYPE				
8. When did the abuse occur?	□ Confessed □ Denied □ Unknown			
	?			
10. What efforts are being made to prote	ct victim?			
11 17				
11. Has a report been made to authoritie				
12. Give details of how reported:				
13. Who else has knowledge of abuse?				
14. Have any elders been contacted by authorities for testimony or cong. records? YES-□ NO-□				
15. Direction given:				
NONREPORTING []	REPORTING			
Elders have no duty to report child abuse under the child abuse reporting law. Whether others who have knowledge make a report or pursue the matter legally is a personal decision. We explained the Society's policy of confidentiality and asked the elders to review the letter of July 1, 1989. Encourage parties not to the compregation of authorities investigate. Constitution of the confidence of the confiden	Eiters have a duty to report child abuse under the abuse reporting law. They should speak to the offender directly and had out if he is a substilling there may be someone due who has boost-dept of the abuse who will be a substilling there may be someone due who has boost-dept of the abuse who will be abuse who will be abuse who will not so the substilling to make a report, two elders thould make an anonymous phone report from a next-relationation, such as a phone booth. They should keep a written record of who made he call, to whom it was made, the data and time of the call, and other pertinent factors. This record should then be sigeed by the two elders as of placed in congregation flex as proof that a report of child abuse was made in compliance with the law. We explained the Seciety's policy of confidentially and asked the elders to review the least of July 1, 1989. Encourage parties not to involve the congregation of authorities investigate. Contact the Legal Department of they are supposed. We held objection to the defers thandling this capability of the supposed of the supposed of the confidence of the supposed. The confidence is the supposed of the supposed			
	See Reverse Side □ 1993			

SRS000000094

V	
	CA.
	·
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	SURVEY QUESTIONS
What action has been taken by the elder	rs?
•	
•	e accusation before calling Legal?
How long have the elders known of the	e accusation before calling Legal?
How long have the elders known of the	e accusation before calling Legal?
How long have the elders known of the Have the elders reviewed the letter of July 1, 1989 March 23, 1992	e accusation before calling Legal?
How long have the elders known of the Have the elders reviewed the letter of July 1, 1989 March 23, 1992 February 3, 1993	e accusation before calling Legal?
How long have the elders known of the Have the elders reviewed the letter of July 1, 1989 March 23, 1992 February 3, 1993 Have previous accusations been made.	against the accused?
How long have the elders known of the Have the elders reviewed the letter of July 1, 1989 March 23, 1992 February 3, 1993 Have previous accusations been made: Has the child received a medical examination.	against the accused?
How long have the elders known of the Have the elders reviewed the letter of July 1, 1989 March 23, 1992 February 3, 1993 Have previous accusations been made. Has the child received a medical examination of the state of the st	against the accused?
How long have the elders known of the Have the elders reviewed the letter of July 1, 1989 March 23, 1992 February 3, 1993 Have previous accusations been made: Has the child received a medical examination with the child any conversations with the child and child an	against the accused?

WTNY 00570

CM PROFILE

			,
	Attorney-C	lientPrivileged 📆	Ma .
Lega		Service:	7h.
1.	Date entered:	Person handling call:	40
2.	Caller's name:	Phone number:	1/2
	Congregation:	City: State:	1/1/
3.	Accused:	Congregation status:	16
۵.	Congregation:	Date of Baptism:	
	Date of Birth:	Age:	
	Did he ever serve as an elder, ministerial		O No O Ye
	When did he serve? In what capacity?		
	Relationship(s) of accused to victim(s)?		
4.	Victim(s):	Congregation status:	
•	Congregation:	Age:	
5.	Who reported:	When first told elders:	•
٠,	Relationship of reporter to victim? to acc		
6.	Have elders spoken with the accused?	○ No ○ Yes	
u.	Under what circumstances?	0 112 0 152	
	Admitted to elders? Denied?	○ Confessed ○ Denied	O Unknown
	Briefly, the nature and extent of abuse:	O GOINGSOCO O DEMICO	O Unadio
7.	Categorize the abuse:	•	
8.	When did abuse occur?		
9.	***************************************	○ No ○ Yes	
10.	Now living in same home?	O NO O LES	
11.	Other minors living in same home?		
12.	What efforts to protect victim(s)?	○ No ○ Yes	
13.	Reported to authorities?	O NO O TES	
	Give details of how reported:		
14.	Who has knowledge of abuse?	○ No ○ Yes	
15.	Elders contacted by authorities?	See Statutes book	
	Direction given:	See Statutes book	
16.	Other direction:		
17.	Comments		

18. Follow-up description: Follow-up date: